

I Search Rubric 2015

	Outstanding	Good	Fair	Unacceptable
Visual Presentation 15 Points	Cover page with relevant info, including descriptive title. Section headings. Excellent graphics with appropriate citations. Clean and professional looking.	Cover page. Sections headings. Graphics included. Professional looking.	Most relevant information present. Some section headings, captions, or graphics used.	Dirty or ragged appearance. Missing titles, captions, headings, name of author. Not professional.
Requirements 10 Points	All requirements present Binder Creative cover page Formal cover page Table of contents Page numbers Original project proposal I Search paper –narrative Notes and progress reports Appendix Tabbed page section separators Cover page for each section Works Cited page Graphics and Visuals Integrated citations Citations for photos/graphics	Has missing requirement(s)	Several required elements are missing -	Many requirements are missing – Missing I Search paper narrative Missing Works Cited page
Organization 25 Points	Thesis is clear, easy to find, and appropriate to the assignment. Thesis is supported by the rest of the paper. Paper contains a “roadmap” for the reader. There is a logical flow to the topics/arguments. Excellent use of transitions. Conclusion follows clearly from the arguments presented.	Thesis is clear and appropriate. Thesis fairly well supported. Paper is fairly well organized. Good use of transitions. Conclusion follows from the rest of the paper.	Thesis is fairly clear. Inconsistent support for thesis. Paper weakly organized. Few, if any transitions. Conclusion is acceptable.	Thesis unclear and/or inappropriate. Thesis not supported. Paper is not organized. Missing transitions. Conclusion doesn’t follow from the rest of the paper.



I Search Content

Content ~ Research	The evidence comes from a wide variety of valid sources. The works cited is complete and reflects appropriate sources. The evidence used reflects multiple views. Writer has appropriate citations.	The evidence comes from valid sources. The works cited is complete. The evidence used reflects multiple views. Writer may have errors with citation format.	Valid sources are inconsistently used. The works cited is incomplete and/or has errors. Multiple errors with citations.	The evidence seldom comes from valid sources. The works cited is missing and/or has multiple errors. Missing citations.
Content ~ Editing	Carefully edited project – few if any spelling, grammar and/or punctuation errors. Evident sentence variety, consistent tense, sophisticated diction,			
Content ~ Interest factor	Language and style appropriate for intended audience. Paper presents well-developed analysis and synthesis. There is nuance, inference and subtlety to the paper. Main points are memorable. Reader is very engaged.	Language and style appropriate. Paper presents reasonable analysis and synthesis. There is a little nuance, inference and subtlety. Main points clear. Reader is engaged.	Language and style only fair. Less-developed analysis and synthesis. Nuance, inference and subtlety lacking. Main points present, not well made.	Language and style poor. Analysis and synthesis lacking. Main points not discernible.
Content Total Points 50	Overall excellence with research, editing and interest factor	Good job with research, editing and interest factor	Fair job with research, editing and interest factor	Weak research, multiple editing errors, loses reader's attention

Total Points _____/100

